



RPD-SR115: THESIS/RESEARCH DEFENSE EVALUATION FORM

Thesis/Research Title:	
Proponent(s)/Author(s):	

RATING SCALE: 5 = Strongly Agree; 4 = Agree; 3 = Neutral; 2 = Disagree; 1 = Strongly Disagree

ELEMENTS	CRITERIA	PRESENTER				
		1	2	3	4	5
Delivery and Awareness of Audience	<i>The presenters...</i>					
	· appeared relaxed, self-confident, professional, and appropriately dressed					
	· spoke clearly and extemporaneously in a loud enough voice and maintained the interest of the audience					
	· gave a well-prepared presentation within the allotted time					
Strength and Organization of Material	<i>The presentation...</i>					
	· was informative and well-delivered using a well-organized presentation					
	· has a clear and appropriate introduction, suitable research simulacrum and conclusion					
	· has sufficient relevant and up to date literature review					
	· has sufficiently discussed results (~75%)					
Question and Answer	<i>The presenters...</i>					
	· showed mastery of their research by accurately answering the questions					
	· was able to enlighten/clarify further the issues raised by the panel					
	· was focused and engages the panel confidently					
	<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>					



EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS:

Large empty rectangular box for evaluator's comments.

\_\_\_\_\_  
PANEL/EVALUATOR (Signature over printed name)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Evaluation

