



RPD- SR116: THESIS/RESEARCH MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION FORM (PROPOSAL)

Thesis/Research Title:	
Proponent(s)/Author(s):	

CRITERIA	Points	Score
INTRODUCTION	30 points	
The introduction identified trends in the focused field of study	3	
The research gaps were identified, creating a stable foundation for research objectives.	5	
The objectives are significantly enough for the advancement of the field of discipline.	5	
The objectives indicated the limits of the problem. The study is also a pioneering effort.	3	
The research objectives contribute to the attainment of the central question.	2	
Review of related literature shows how the research problem evolved from previous studies.	5	
The output is comprehensive, selective and provides various articles from different resources.	4	
The hypotheses/assumptions were properly stated, entail further investigation and based on the literature review presented.	3	
METHODOLOGY	25 points	
Research protocols were clearly identified and explained how it will contribute to the achievement of the objectives. Novel or modified standard procedures were clearly stated for reproducibility.	5	
Ethical considerations and privacy issues were properly identified and explained.	4	
The theory is contextualized on how it guides the analysis of data.	4	
The experimental design captures all the variables presented in the main problem.	5	



The data necessary for the study were all identified and explained how it will contribute to the achievement of the objectives.	5	
All measurements were correctly mentioned with appropriate units.	2	
TOTAL	55 points	

EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS:

Large empty box for evaluator's comments.

The Manuscript should be:

- Accepted Without Revision (Exceptional) 52 – 55 points.
- Accepted with Minor Revisions (Proficient) 48 – 51 points.
- Accepted with Major Revision/s (Satisfactory) 41 – 47 points
- Rejected (Limited) 40 points and below

PANEL/EVALUATOR (Signature over printed name)

Date of Evaluation