



RPD-SR117: THESIS/RESEARCH MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION FORM (FINAL)

<b>Thesis/Research Title:</b>	
<b>Proponent(s)/Author(s):</b>	

CRITERIA	Points	Score
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>30 points</b>	
The introduction identified trends in the focused field of study	3	
The research gaps were identified, creating a stable foundation for research objectives.	5	
The objectives are significantly enough for the advancement of the field of discipline.	5	
The objectives indicated the limits of the problem. The study is also a pioneering effort.	3	
The research objectives contribute to the attainment of the central question.	2	
Review of related literature shows how the research problem evolved from previous studies.	5	
The output is comprehensive, selective and provides various articles from different resources.	4	
The hypotheses/assumptions were properly stated, entail further investigation and based on the literature review presented.	3	
<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>25 points</b>	
Research protocols were clearly identified and explained how it will contribute to the achievement of the objectives. Novel or modified standard procedures were clearly stated for reproducibility.	5	
Ethical considerations and privacy issues were properly identified and explained.	4	
The theory is contextualized on how it guides the analysis of data.	4	
The experimental design captures all the variables presented in the main problem.	5	
The data necessary for the study were all identified and explained how it will contribute to the achievement of the objectives.	5	
All measurements were correctly mentioned with appropriate units.	2	



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	35 points	
The results accurately answer and are congruent with the problem.	3	
The results are presented in a clear, accurate and concise manner and are logically presented.	3	
The results presented in the text must be summarized and highlighted using tables and figures whenever necessary.	6	
Analyses are based on data gathered from research.	3	
The output reveals the importance of the research paper in general. The study is also a contribution to the existing body of knowledge.	7	
Data analyzed is well explained by citing principles in recent scientific findings that support or oppose the present result.	7	
The discussion presents the study's scope and limitations, advantages, applications and relevant recommendations for future investigation.	6	
CONCLUSION	10 points	
Conclusions are drawn from the results of the investigation.	3	
Conclusion and relevant recommendation are drawn from the result of the investigation.	7	
TOTAL	100 points	

**EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS:**

The Manuscript should be:

- Accepted Without Revision (Exceptional)
- Accepted with Minor Revisions (Proficient)
- Accepted with Major Revision/s (Satisfactory)
- Rejected (Limited)

52 – 55 points.  
48 – 51 points.  
41 – 47 points  
40 points and below

\_\_\_\_\_  
PANEL/EVALUATOR (Signature over printed name)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Evaluation

**LRO-SR117: Thesis/Research Manuscript Evaluation Form (Final)**

